IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO 834 OF 2006

Shri Manoj Jeet Pratap Singh ...Applicant.

V/s

State of Maharashtra ...Respondent.

Mr. S.V. Marwadi for the applicant.

Mr. R.Y. Mirza, APP for the State.

CORAM: V.M. KANADE, J.
DATE: 14th March, 2006

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the applicant and the learned Counsel for the State.

2. This is an application for anticipatory bail. The learned Counsel for the applicant, after taking instructions from his client, had given an undertaking to this Court on 27/2/2006 that the entire amount which is due and payable to the Bank shall be returned and in view of this statement ad-interim relief was granted. The learned Counsel, for the applicant, however, has candidly admitted that his client has not contacted him thereafter and only an amount of Rs 1 lakh has been paid by a demand draft to the Bank.

3. Since the undertaking given by the applicant to

this Court has not been complied with, this is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail. There is a breach of undertaking.

4. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected. Ad-interim relief granted earlier, stands vacated.

5. Application is disposed of.

V.M. KANADE, J.